Case 2:08-cv-01448-JCM-RJJ Document 208 Filed 10/17/11 Page 2 of 2

1	Defendant has failed to meet its burden. Defendant has not (1) pointed to any newly
2	discovered evidence, (2) alleged that the court committed clear error or that the initial decision was
3	manifestly unjust, or (3) demonstrated an intervening change in controlling law. See School Dist.
4	No. 1J, 5 F.3d at 1263. At best, defendant has pointed to persuasive authority from a district court
5	outside of the Ninth Circuit. This falls far short of the showing required.
6	Further, at the time this court issued its order denying summary judgment, the court was
7	aware that at least one other district court had granted summary judgment on this issue.
8	Nevertheless, the court stated at oral argument that "there's an ambiguity in the provision it's
9	subject to interpretation. I think that's a matter for trial." (2/24/2011 Hearing Transcript). Because
10	the court was already aware of at least one conflicting persuasive opinion, defendant's motion to
11	reconsider based on a subsequent out-of-circuit district court opinion is unconvincing.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that defendant LaSalle Bank National Association's motion for reconsideration of order on its motion for summary judgment (doc. #197) be, and the same hereby is, DENIED.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DATED October 17, 2011.

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge